

COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES

February 6, 2012

The regular meeting of the Ontario City Council was called to order by Mayor Joe Dominick at 7:00 p.m. on Monday, February 6, 2012, in the Council Chambers of City Hall. Council members present were Norm Crume, Joe Dominick, Jackson Fox, Charlotte Fugate, Dan Jones, David Sullivan and Ronald Verini.

Members of staff present were Henry Lawrence, Tori Barnett, Larry Sullivan, Al Higinbotham, Lisa Hansen, Lonnie Justus, Jared Gammage, Justin Allison, and Greg Bakken. The meeting was recorded on tape, and the tapes are available at City Hall.

Guest present: Judge Patricia Sullivan, Malheur County Circuit Court; Karen Steward, CenturyLink; and Larry Meyer, Argus Observer.

Dan Jones led everyone in the Pledge of Allegiance.

AGENDA

Ron Verini moved, seconded by Charlotte Fugate, to adopt the Agenda as presented. Roll call vote: Crume-yes; Fox-yes; Fugate-yes; Jones-yes; Sullivan-yes; Verini-yes; Dominick-yes. Motion carried 7/0/0.

CONSENT AGENDA

Mayor Dominick abstained from the voting on the bills as his company had an invoice for payment on the bills.

Henry Lawrence, City Manager, stated in response to the question raised at the February 2nd study session regarding the crane usage at the Water Treatment Plant for repairing the valves in the basins, he had received a response from Bob Walker, Deputy Public Works Director. Performance Crane was on site installing Pump #4 in the clear well as a subcontractor to Priest Electric. As Performance was already on site, they were contacted about utilizing their crane to install the valves at the treatment plant. Unfortunately, no other crane services were contacted. He learned from a conversation with Chuck Mickelson, Public Works Director, that although Performance Crane had their main office in Middleton, the crane and operator were located in Nyssa. Staff emphasized that they utilized the local crane and towing services of Roadrunner Towing on a regular basis, and were used by the Shop staff for all towing and crane work when they were available. Roadrunner had been contacted about the work planned at Skyline Reservoir, but they believed the dike was not structurally adequate to secure the crane for lifting the heavy loads required to complete the project. Performance Crane had also been contacted for the same project, but had declined for the same reasons.

Councilor Jackson asked to see the invoice from the company, and their Oregon Contractor's License.

Councilor Jones stated this did not pass the smell test. It was \$1,207, and there was a local company who was not contacted. For him, he was not buying the whole statement. It also seemed that Performance had been there quite a bit. He wanted to make it clear that they needed to make every effort possible to use local businesses. That \$1,200 would trickle down many times more than the original \$1,200. It upset him, and they needed to do a better job of using their local businesses.

Councilor Fox stated it should upset everyone. Today, a long time business in Ontario closed. The mall was empty. And they didn't use their own businesses? Especially a business such as Roadrunner Crane. He knew that they had donated a lot to the Ontario Fire Department.

Mayor Dominick stated that yes, they needed to remind staff to shop local whenever possible, but they also had to be careful not to single out certain companies to use exclusively, even if they were local.

Councilor Fox stated they didn't know if Roadrunner might have done it cheaper, because he hadn't received a call.

Mayor Dominick stated there were probably other companies that would have appreciated it also. Staff was aware that the Council wanted more local shopping, and that they would be watching for this type of thing in the bills.

Mr. Lawrence stated by policy they were supposed to make at least three phone calls, to at least get some informal prices. In this specific case, it was done by a new employee, and he suspected it would not be done again.

Lisa Hansen, Finance Director, presented a copy of the invoice to the Council. She did not have a copy of the Oregon Contractor's License available.

Councilor Fox stated the reason he wanted to review the invoice was because crane companies charged dock-to-dock. If the company was already on site, it didn't show a discount on the invoice for that. It left a bad taste in his mouth when a local business didn't get a call.

Councilor Jones stated on the minutes from the January 17th Council meeting, when he spoke as a citizen, he needed to add some clarifications. First, he had stated that his wife was at her office; she was at their HOME office, not her business office. Secondly, he apologized to the Ontario Police Department as he mixed up an employee. He thought she was in the Police Department, and she was not. He had mentioned the Police Department, but they had nothing to do with this Facebook issue. He apologized again to Chief Alexander. Also, at the end of the statement, he again said the Police Department, and he meant the Fire Department. He *meant* to ask the Fire Department to clean this up, not the Police Department. He wanted to make that note to clear the water.

David Sullivan moved, seconded by Norm Crume, to approve Consent Agenda Item A: Approval of the Regular Minutes of 01/17/2012; and Item B: Approval of the Bills. Roll call vote: Crume-yes; Fox-yes; Fugate-yes; Jones-yes; Sullivan-yes; Verini-yes; Dominick-abstain. Motion carried 6/0/0/1.

PRESENTATION

Judge Patricia Sullivan, Malheur County Circuit Court, stated her appreciation for the Council taking the time to let her speak. She was now the presiding Judge of the Circuit Court. Judge Pratt would be retiring on March 31, becoming a Senior Judge. He would continue to serve as part of the retirement program, serving for five years substituting for illnesses and vacations. They were also at the beginning of the legislative session, and it was a critical time for the courts, and it was also critical to know what would be happening in regards to Judge Pratt's replacement. It would happen quickly as this was an election year. The Governor would appoint a replacement only until the end of 2012, and the position would be filled by the election process, and would be active in 2013. Even if a person was appointed, they would still have to stand for an election. That election would be in the May primary, and if more than two ran for office, and no one received more than 50% of the votes, the seat would go to the general election in November. In that period of time, cases could not be pushed and would have to be resolved. She anticipated seeing an appointment in the next few days. Currently, four had applied for appointment, and one withdrew, and one filed for the election. The filing deadline was March 6th, and it was going to be an interesting spring. There were many contested races coming up.

With regard to the state of the courts, twenty years ago, they would have never seen a Circuit Court Judge appear before a City Council. They were isolated and didn't interact, but things had changed. They were now partners with the cities. However, with the proposed changes coming, the local governments were going to be getting the phone calls. If they had to curtail the trial schedule, that affected the police departments and everyone else.

Currently, they were under severe budget constraints. There were a series of cuts that affected the judicial department, in ways other departments were not affected. They were a separate branch of government, funded by the legislature. There were going to be additional cuts coming this spring. The judicial budget was almost entirely salaries; only 4% was not. The judge's salaries were protected by the Constitution, so they couldn't be reduced; however, Oregon was 50th in the United States on that issue. Reducing that by 3.5% translated to a reduction of more than 3.5% because the judge's salaries couldn't be reduced, and there were no other programs to take it from. So, they had to cut staff.

In the smaller courts, like Malheur County, they needed a number to operate. She anticipated, unless things went differently in the legislature, they would see further staff cutbacks. They would be watching to see if they could keep two courtrooms and the window open and operational. Their counter was their revenue maker. If the court closed, they were not providing necessary services. One way the judge's department was trying to cope involved updating their system, or E-court, and attempting to modernize their system. It was currently held together duct tape and baling wire, very antiquated. The upgrade, paid for with bonds, should cause a tremendous growth in efficiency and reduction in staff time. The federal courts were all electronic. Also, they continued working on developing efficiencies and programs to enable them to provide better service with fewer staff.

They had also had to cut the Restitution Court. As Council knew, Ontario suffered from a lot of graffiti. Restitution Court outlined who was making payments, and what they were paying. Most people did not want to see the Judge. People would pay to avoid going in front of the Judge, and the program was effective, but they were having trouble providing staff. They had changed to off the record, not recording to lessen the need for staff, but that didn't work. They also stopped Domestic Violence Court, for those assigned to court for domestic violence treatment, and they had to provide proof of enrollment. They also had to cut down on show cause for warrants, whose purpose was to provide better community safety. Again, they had gone off the record to reduce costs, but there just wasn't staff to continue.

The mandate from the Judicial Department was to preserve the core functions. They had a very successful program for drugs and alcohol and she would fight to keep those programs; however, those were on the table for cutting. She couldn't promise that they were not making further cuts and adjustments. Currently, they were shut at noon four days a week. If she had to shut down on Fridays, other than the mandatory furlough days, she promised she would let them know of the closure. People did tend to go elsewhere when questions couldn't be answered.

One staff person lost was the Pro-se Facilitator. Almost 70% of the family law litigants didn't have attorneys. It was difficult to work with them sometimes, as they were under stress and were in situations that caused anxiety and urgency. They didn't have an attorney and they were not attorneys, and were desperate for help. The Pro-se Coordinator couldn't give legal advice, but could assist in filling out the correct forms, and could check for completed paperwork. Staff was helping, but many were time intensive, needed a lot of help, and were beyond the abilities of staff to help. If the window was shut, phone calls would start. Issues that were not problems before, would become problems, especially for the police.

This session of the legislature was critical for everyone, but that was not a surprise to anyone. It was worth thinking about. One example was that people could say things should be prioritized; however, some things might not appear important to the layman, but were always important to those involved.

Judge Sullivan stated she was not one of those people that when they were under budget constraints, viewed it as "all that". It gave them a chance to look at efficiencies, to look at new ways of doing things, and people were much more open to it. She liked to do that. She wanted to hear if the Council had ideas, too. It was a community issue.

Mayor Dominick stated he had seen the results of her treatment programs, and that really needed to stay around.

Judge Sullivan stated they had combined it and were doing one session every two weeks. That was working.

NEW BUSINESS

Approval of 2012 Golf Course Restaurant Lease: City of Ontario / Barb Toomey

Henry Lawrence, City Manager, stated Barbara Toomey, under lease agreement with the City, operated the restaurant at the Ontario Golf Course during the 2011 regular golf season. Ms. Toomey has indicated a willingness to renew the lease for the 2012 season, with the following minor changes written into the proposed document:

- Section 4: The agreement was shortened to seven months of the golf season (April 1-October 31), instead of eight months per the 2011 agreement. Ms. Toomey noted that a March 1 start was not justified due to the lack of business that early in the season. She would open on April 1. She also requested that the City give her first consideration to negotiate a new lease for 2013 before the City negotiated with any other parties.
- Section 5: Monthly rental was still \$250, plus \$125 per month for the off-season months of November and December. Total rent was \$2,000 in advance by April 1, 2012.
- Section 7: Utilities. Since Ms. Toomey had a satellite television, the reference to "Clubhouse Cable" was not applicable. Due to the amount of golf course debris deposited in the garbage dumpster, the City required twice a week dumping service by Ontario Sanitary Service. As she did not generate 50% of the debris, and only needed service once a week, her share of the garbage was reduced from 50% to 25%.
- Ms. Toomey requested adjustments on electric/natural gas sharing, but the City Manager did not recommend it at this time. Her share of electric (25%) and natural gas (50%) remained as in the 2011 agreement, provided the Council approves.
- Section 21.1 insurance for liquor.

Other than minor editorial changes to make the document applicable to 2012, no other substantive changes were made. This would result in \$2,000 in revenue to the City, plus a share in utility expenses from the lessee.

Barb Toomey wanted to clarify that she was not making changes to the opening date. It was the same as last season. She had opened on April 1st last year. She wanted that clarified as it was written in the paper that she was opening a month later, but she really wasn't; however, if the weather was nice in March, she would be happy to open earlier.

Charlotte Fugate moved, seconded by Jackson Fox, to approve the proposed 2012 Golf Restaurant Lease Agreement between the City of Ontario and Barbara Toomey, and authorize the City Manager to sign the Agreement on behalf of the City. Roll call vote: Crume-yes; Fox-yes; Fugate-yes; Jones-yes; Sullivan-yes; Verini-yes; Dominick-yes. Motion carried 7/0/0.

Resolution #2012-102: Receive/Expend FEMA Grant for Purchase of New Pumper 103 in Ontario Fire and Rescue Department

Al Higinbotham, Fire Chief, stated the new pumper would be a replacement for pumper 103, which was 30 years old. Parts for 103 were expensive and difficult to find. It was not cost effective to continually make repairs to such an old model. The maximum expected service life for a pumper was 20 years.

The approved project costs totaled \$340,000.00. The Federal share was 95% or \$323,000.00 and the City's responsibility for this grant would be 5% or \$17,000.00. This amount would come from the General Fund Equipment Replenishing Contingency line item.

David Sullivan moved, seconded by Ronald Verini, that the Council adopt Resolution 2012-102, A RESOLUTION ACKNOWLEDGING RECEIPT OF FEMA ASSISTANCE TO FIREFIGHTERS GRANT FUNDS AND APPROPRIATING EXPENDITURES FOR A NEW REPLACEMENT PUMPER WITHIN THE GRANT FUND. Roll call vote: Crume-yes; Fox-yes; Fugate-yes; Jones-yes; Sullivan-yes; Verini-yes; Dominick-yes. Motion carried 7/0/0.

Ordinance #2666-2012: Consenting to the Assignment of the Malheur Home Telephone Company Franchise to CenturyLink QC (First Reading)

Larry Sullivan, City Attorney, stated Malheur Home Telephone Company had a telecommunications franchise agreement with the City that was approved in 2009. That company has since consolidated with Qwest, and proposed Ordinance No. 2666-2012 consented to the transfer of the telecommunications franchise to Qwest, which was now doing business as CenturyLink QC.

On March 3, 2009, the Council enacted Ordinance 2626-2009, a telecommunications franchise agreement with Malheur Home Telephone Company; on May 17, 2010, Council tabled consideration of Ordinance 2642-2010 consenting to the assignment of the Malheur Home Telephone franchise to Qwest, pending a revision of the Telecommunications chapter of the City Code.

In 2009, the City granted Malheur Home Telephone Company a ten-year telephone franchise agreement in Ordinance 2626-2009. It included a 7% franchise fee on gross revenues earned within the city limits. Later that year, the decision was made by Qwest, its parent company, to shut down Malheur Home Telephone Company's independent operations and consolidate them into Qwest. The State of Oregon PUC approved the consolidation, effective December 14, 2009. The consolidation resulted in the transfer of Malheur Home Telephone Company's operations to Qwest Corporation, including the use of the City's rights of way for Qwest's telecommunications business.

Paragraph 10.8 of Ordinance 2626-2009 provides: ***Transfer of Franchise.*** PROVIDER shall not, directly or indirectly, transfer, assign, or dispose of by sale, lease, merger, consolidation or other act of PROVIDER, ownership or control of a majority interest in the telecommunications system, without the prior consent of CITY, which consent shall not be unreasonably withheld or delayed, and then only on such reasonable conditions as may be prescribed in such consent.

In proposed Ordinance No. 2666-2012, the City Council consented to the transfer of the telecommunications franchise agreement to Qwest. Staff was not recommending that the City impose any conditions on Qwest to obtain the City's consent to that transfer. However, the ordinance does make the assignment subject to the existing provisions of Chapter 2 of Title 3 of the City Code, the Telecommunications Chapter. This chapter now included Section 3-2-47A, requiring the maintenance of telecommunications facilities in a nuisance-free condition. This provision was added to the City Code after the Malheur Home Telephone Company franchise was granted in 2009. Qwest representatives had reviewed and approved the franchise assignment in Ordinance 2666-2012.

Karen Stewart, CenturyLink, thanked the Council for their support of the transfer of the franchise agreement. Also, she assured the Council that CenturyLink had a long history of providing service to rural communities. With the combined strength of Qwest and CenturyLink, they were the 3rd largest carrier in the U.S. One of the things they were committed to and planned to do was to continue to bring broadband service to new areas where it wasn't available, including Ontario. As it was ready, she would supply information to the City Manager and Council. Last year, they introduced internet basics, where if anyone was low income in the area, it made them eligible for a decreased cost of broadband service of \$9.95 per month for twelve months.

Councilor Crume stated he was the local graffiti cleaner, and graffiti artists seemed to hit telephone connection boxes all the time. They struggled to get the boxes repainted in a reasonable amount of time. He spoke with Malheur Bell and they didn't want them cleaned by him because of the high pressure cleaning. He asked that Ms. Stewart make sure that the graffiti was cleaned up quickly.

Ms. Stewart stated she would pass that on to Frank Sanchez, the area plant manager. He would be in charge of that type of thing.

Councilor Crume stated he would be willing to clean it up if they supplied the materials.

Ms. Stewart stated she would make sure Mr. Sanchez was aware; someone would be in contact.

Norm Crume moved, seconded by David Sullivan, that the Mayor and City Council approve Ordinance No. 2666-2012, AN ORDINANCE CONSENTING TO THE ASSIGNMENT OF THE MALHEUR HOME TELEPHONE COMPANY FRANCHISE TO CENTURYLINK QC on First Reading by Title Only. Roll call vote: Crume-yes; Fox-yes; Fugate-yes; Jones-yes; Sullivan-yes; Verini-yes; Dominick-yes. Motion carried 7/0/0.

Appointments/Reappointments to City Boards, Committees, and Commissions

Joe Dominick, Mayor, stated it was time for the annual appointment of City Committee, Commission and Board members. The Ontario Municipal Code stated that any board, committee or commission having an expiring membership but insufficient requests for appointment shall direct the current members to remain on the committee until replacements are obtained. The Visitors & Conventions Board was position specific.

The Airport Board had two vacancies, plus an alternate position. Pete Morgan and Amanda Vansickle requested appointment. The Budget Board had three vacancies, and Larry Heidbrink, Wade Heidbrink and John Hall requested appointment. The Planning Commission had three vacancies, and Mike Allen, Rita Kanrich, and Barbara Wilson requested appointment. Barb Wilson has subsequently removed her name from the list. The Public Works Committee had three vacancies, and Dan Cummings, Scott Wilson and Ken Hart requested to fill those positions. The Recreation Board had three vacancies, and Sheila Hart requested appointment. The Visitors and Conventions Bureau Board had two vacancies, and Debbie Blackaby and John Hall requested appointment.

Charlotte Fugate questioned the chairman and son asking for appointment to the same committee [Budget]. She believed the community might think it not kosher to have that occur.

Mayor Dominick stated he had not heard any concerns about it. Mr. Heidbrink would not be automatically reappointed as Chair.

Jackson Fox moved, seconded by Norm Crume, to appoint Pete Morgan and Amanda Vansickle to the Airport Board; to appoint Larry Heidbrink, Wade Heidbrink, and John Hall to the Budget Board; to appoint Mike Allen and Rita Kanrich to the Planning Commission; to appoint Scott Wilson, Dan Cummings, and Ken Hart to the Public Works Committee; to appoint Sheila Hart to the Recreation Board; and to appoint Debbie Blackaby and John Hall to the Visitors and Conventions Bureau Board. Expiration of terms will coincide with those established by ordinance for each Board. Roll call vote: Crume-yes; Fox-yes; Fugate-no; Jones-yes; Sullivan-yes; Verini-yes; Dominick-yes. Motion carried 6/1/0.

CORRESPONDENCE, COMMENTS, AND EX-OFFICIO REPORTS

- Tori Barnett stated there were still three vacancies on boards – one for the Planning Commission, and two on the Recreation Board. There was also a notice posted on the city's website.
- Jackson Fox stated about two months ago, he had asked for the paper trail on the swimming pool overage that was spent, the \$75K that was approved by the Budget Committee, which was now at \$129K. At that time, the City Manger stated he would provide that paper trail, and he wanted to look at it.
- Norm Crume stated Saturday, May 16th would be the Annual Serve Day. They just had their first meeting. They needed volunteers to help clean up the town – fixing fences, weeding, painting, removing graffiti, whatever. They were looking for key individuals capable of putting together a team to organize a particular group. They could contact him or the Mayor to get that set up.

Mayor Dominick stated they were also looking for donations of material – paint, brushes, hammers, nails, etc.

- Dan Jones stated three months ago, he had asked for a printout on the Public Works facility, and the total cost, and that had been provided by the City Manager. He had an extra copy if anyone wanted one. He appreciated getting the document.
- Charlotte Fugate stated there had been rumor swirling around the city for about three months, and she wanted to address it. It was about the cost of the Public Works road. The cost, according to some people on the Council, was \$70K. After checking with the Public Works staff, the cost of the road was \$10,093. They got the grindings from the airport project for free, the fellow delivered the materials, and staff used some of the chip seal that was left over. She wanted that cleared up. She felt like they had an obligation as Councilors to be truthful and to speak the truth. She wanted to let everyone know that was the cost, and she had the document with her if anyone wanted a copy.

Jackson Fox stated his point of contention was that money was spent from an approval project, taken from that, and spent on an unapproved project. That was a violation of the code.

Ms. Fugate stated she was talking about the \$70K that it was supposedly supposed to cost, and it didn't cost \$70K.

Mr. Fox stated it didn't cost \$10K either.

Ms. Fugate stated according to the Public Works Director...

Mr. Fox stated those chips were not free.

Ms. Fugate stated sated she did not interrupt him when he was talking, so don't interrupt her. Thank you.

- Mayor Dominick stated on March 13th, the Sister City Delegates would be here. There would be five ladies from Japan, ages 18-21. They had the host families lined up, and there were many activities planned for around the area, but were still looking for ideas on other things to do. They were also looking for donated items for their welcome bags. The 40th Anniversary was coming up, and he anticipated having a large delegation come to Ontario.

EXECUTIVE SESSION

Executive Session: ORS 192.660(2)(d)

An executive session was called at 8:08 p.m. under provisions of ORS 192.660(1)(d) to discuss labor negotiations. The Council convened into a second Executive Session at 9:05 p.m.

Executive Session: ORS 192.660(2)(h)

An executive session was called at 9:06 p.m. under provisions of ORS 192.660(1)(h) to discuss pending or potential litigation. The Council reconvened into regular session at 9:23 p.m.

AMEND AGENDA

David Sullivan moved, seconded by Ronald Verini, to amend the Agenda to include item 7E, formation of a temporary gain-sharing committee to evaluate Loren Weideman's gain sharing proposal. Roll call vote: Crume-yes; Fox-yes; Fugate-yes; Jones-yes; Sullivan-yes; Verini-yes; Dominick-yes. Motion carried 7/0/0.

Formation of Temporary Gain-Sharing Committee to Evaluate Loren Weideman's Gain-Sharing Proposal

Larry Sullivan, City Attorney, stated the purpose of this agenda item was to consider whether to establish a procedure to evaluate employee Loren Weideman's Gain-sharing proposal.

In 2004, the City Council adopted a Gain-sharing policy through Resolution No. 2004-130 as a financial incentive for employees to submit cost-saving ideas. The policy included a procedure to evaluate and approve Gain-sharing proposals submitted by employees. The policy required that a Gain-sharing Committee be formed to evaluate proposals and make recommendations to the City Council. The policy gave the Council final authority to approve or disapprove Gain-sharing proposals.

In August, 2005, employee Loren Weideman submitted a Gain-sharing proposal to reduce the number of employees at the Wastewater Treatment Plant by contracting out the work to a local farmer through the farmer's share-crop agreement with the City. The City subsequently reduced, through attrition, the number of employees at the Wastewater Treatment Plant, and renegotiated the share crop agreement with the local farmer.

Although Mr. Weideman's proposal was reviewed by both the former Public Works Director, Steve Gaschler, and the former City Manager, Scott Trainor, no Gain-sharing Committee was convened to evaluate the proposal and no formal action was taken on the proposal to determine whether it met the criteria in the Gain-sharing policy; whether it resulted in cost savings to the City; or the amount, if any, of those savings.

In 2009, the City Council rescinded the Gain-sharing policy through Resolution No. 2009-120.

On January 11, 2012, a claim against the City was made by an attorney in a letter sent on Mr. Weideman's behalf demanding that the City pay Mr. Weideman an amount specified in the letter for his Gain-sharing proposal.

Although the Gain-sharing policy was rescinded by the Council, this would not prevent the Council from temporarily adopting the same procedure specified in Resolution No. 2004-130 solely for the purpose of processing Mr. Weideman's Gain-sharing proposal. This could be done by forming a temporary Gain-sharing committee solely for the purpose of evaluating Mr. Weideman's proposal and making a recommendation to the Council. Following this procedure, the Committee would consist of the current City Manager, Public Works Director and Finance Director, as well as a Council member appointed by the Mayor.

Temporarily adopting this procedure would not authorize employees to make any new Gain-sharing proposals. The only proposal that would be evaluated would be Mr. Weideman's 2005 proposal.

Charlotte Fugate moved, seconded by Norm Crume, that the Mayor and City Council establish a temporary Gain-sharing Committee, consisting of the City Manager, Public Works Director, Finance Director, and a Council member appointed by the Mayor, solely for the purpose of evaluating and making a recommendation to the Council concerning Loren Weideman's 2005 Gain-sharing proposal, applying the same criteria and standards as set forth in former Resolution No. 2004-130. Roll call vote: Crume-yes; Fox-yes; Fugate-yes; Jones-yes; Sullivan-yes; Verini-yes; Dominick-yes. Motion carried 7/0/0.

Mayor Dominick stated Councilor Sullivan would be the Council representative on the Committee.

ADJOURN

David Sullivan moved, seconded by Ronald Verini, that the meeting be adjourned. Roll call vote: Crume-yes; Fox-yes; Fugate-yes; Jones-yes; Sullivan-yes; Verini-yes; Dominick-yes. Motion carried 7/0/0.

APPROVED:



Joe Dominick, Mayor

ATTEST:



Tori Barnett, MMC, City Recorder